



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/543,207	04/05/2000	Kiyoshi Maruyama	Y0999-470	7275

27127 7590 03/25/2003

HARTMAN & HARTMAN, P.C.
552 EAST 700 NORTH
VALPARAISO, IN 46383

EXAMINER

MIRZA, ADNAN M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2141

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/543,207

Applicant(s)

MARUYAMA ET AL.

Examiner

Adnan M Mirza

Art Unit

2141

M

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 April 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colby et al (U.S. 6,006,264) and further in view of Vaid et al (U.S. 6,520,131) .

As per claims 1,16,25,29 Colby disclosed a system for controlling and managing Internet server farm traffic through a plurality of servers, the server farm traffic arriving at a server farm as inbound traffic organized by customer (i) and traffic type (j) and leaving the server farm as outbound traffic (col. 8, lines 35-45), the system being operable to control and manage the outbound traffic in accordance with outbound bandwidth usage-based service level agreements of form (Bmin,Bmax) (col. 9, lines 9-20), the system comprising: means for collecting the admitted rate (Ra) of inbound traffic for each customer traffic type (i,j) (col. 5, lines 52-60); means for collecting the rejected rate (Rr) of inbound traffic for each customer traffic type (i,j) (col. 10, lines 60-63); means for collecting the outbound traffic (B) for each customer traffic type (i,j) (col. 5, lines 61-67 & col. 6, lines 1-6);

However Colby failed to disclose means for computing an expected bandwidth usage (b) per TCP connection request for each customer traffic type (i,j); means for computing the target rate (Rt) for each customer traffic type (i,j) that supports the outbound bandwidth usage-based service level agreements of form (Bmin,Bmax); limiter means for admitting inbound traffic based on the target rate (Rt) and for tracking the volume of admitted inbound traffic (Ra) and the volume of rejected inbound traffic (Rr) for each customer traffic type (i,j); means for relaying the target rates (Rt) for inbound traffic to the limiter means; and means for dispatching the admitted

Art Unit: 2141

inbound traffic (R_a) to the servers. In the same filed of endeavor Vaid disclosed policies can be specified to control traffic flows in terms of overall bandwidth guarantees, bandwidth limits, priority of service, how individual sessions within a class are serviced or admitted, and other aspects (col. 17, lines 4-8). Bandwidth usually refers to maximum available bit rate for a specific application. In a specific embodiment, synchronous, interactive, and real-time applications, which are bandwidth-sensitive, can require minimum bandwidth guarantees, and can require sustained and burst scale bit rates (col. 5, lines 31-36). The Fair Module generally implements traffic control and manages bandwidth of incoming and outgoing information to and from the network or link. Flow Analysis and Intelligent Regulation ("FAIR") implements traffic control based on a combination of flow control and queuing algorithms. FAIR's objective provides inbound and outbound traffic control based on combination of flow control and queuing algorithms. FAIR's objective provides inbound and outbound traffic management for meaningful time intervals, reducing the load on packet classifiers and packet schedulers. The FAIR module controls the incoming and outgoing information to and from the network (col. 13, lines 59-67 & col. 14, lines 1-2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated means for computing an expected bandwidth usage (b) per TCP connection request for each customer traffic type (i,j); means for computing the target rate (R_t) for each customer traffic type (i,j) that supports the outbound bandwidth usage-based service level agreements of form (B_{min}, B_{max}); limiter means for admitting inbound traffic based on the target rate (R_t) and for tracking the volume of admitted inbound traffic (R_a) and the volume of rejected inbound traffic (R_r) for each customer traffic type (i,j); means for relaying the target

Art Unit: 2141

rates (R_t) for inbound traffic to the limiter means; and means for dispatching the admitted inbound traffic (R_a) to the servers to make the use of wide area networking more efficient and reduce the latency in terms of traffic over the Internet.

2. As per claims 2,19,26 Vaid disclosed wherein the means for collecting the admitted rate (R_a) and the rejected rate (R_r) of inbound traffic comprises an inbound traffic scheduler device and an inbound traffic monitor, the inbound traffic monitor being operable to observe the admitted rate (R_a) and the rejected rate (R_r) of inbound traffic and relay the admitted rate (R_a) and rejected rate (R_r) to the inbound traffic scheduler device (col. 13, lines 59-67).

3. As per claims 3,18 Vaid disclosed wherein the inbound traffic monitor is associated with the dispatching means (col. 13, lines 35-42).

4. As per claims 4-7,20-21,27,29 Vaid disclosed wherein the inbound traffic monitor is associated with the dispatching means (col. 20, lines 58-65 & col.13, lines 59-67).

5. As per claims 8-10,30 Vaid disclosed further comprising means for observing the average resource usage (c) of each server consumed for each consumer traffic type (i,j) (col. 5, lines 1-14).

6. As per claims 11,23 Vaid disclosed wherein the dispatching means comprises at least one inbound traffic limiter, a high-speed LAN and a plurality of dispatchers, the limiter means being associated with the inbound traffic limiter, each of the dispatchers being associated with at least one of the servers (col. 7, lines 12-23).

7. As per claims 12-15,24 Vaid disclosed wherein the dispatching means comprises a high-speed LAN and a plurality of dispatchers (col. 12, lines 11-22), the limiter means and monitor

Art Unit: 2141

means being associated with each of the dispatchers, each of the dispatchers being associated with at least one of the servers (col. 11, lines 42-48).

8. As per claims 17,32-33 Vaid disclosed wherein the inbound traffic scheduler is operable to compute target rates (R_t) for all customer traffic type (i,j) to meet with the service level agreements of form (B_{min} , B_{max}) on the outbound bandwidth usage, and is operable to support both bandwidth borrowing and bandwidth not-borrowing modes of operations (col. 3, lines 62-67, col. 4, lines 1-14).

9. As per claims 22,31 Vaid disclosed wherein the inbound traffic dispatching network is operable to balance the inbound traffic among the servers (col. 12, lines 12-32).

10. As per claim 28 Colby disclosed wherein the step of collecting the bound ($R_{bound}(i,j)$) on the target rate ($R_t(i,j)$) comprises the steps of receiving the bound ($R_{bound}(i,j)$) from a server resource manager (col. 8, lines 37-55).

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (703)-305-4633.

12. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on (703)-308-5221. The fax for this group is (703)-746-7239.

Art Unit: 2141

13. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703)-746-7239 (For Status Inquiries, Informal or Draft Communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT");

(703)-746-7239 (For Official Communications Intended for entry, please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE"),

(703)-746-7238 (For After Final Communications).

14. Any Inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-305-3900.

Any response to a final action should be mailed to:

BOX AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C.20231

Or faxed to:

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to 4th Floor Receptionist, Crystal Park II,
2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202.

AM

Adnan Mirza

Examiner



LE HIEN LUU
PRIMARY EXAMINER